Coping with urban heat: Learning from residents' experiences

Botana P¹, Heidari L¹, McIntyre A¹, Milando C¹, Black-Ingersoll F¹, López-Hernández I², de Lange J¹, Negassa A¹, Bongiovanni R², Fabian MP¹, Scammell MK¹ **1. Department of Environmental Health, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA USA 2. GreenRoots, Inc., Chelsea, MA**



Few comprehensive heat exposure assessments incorporate community-engaged intervention and mitigation planning.

Methods

Objectives

Analyze personal and home exposure to heat. Our research question: What are the physical, social, economic, and environmental factors contributing to heat exposure?

Engaged with residents of **environmental justice communities** in urban heat islands in and near Boston, MA

Established an academic-community partnership to inform decision-making for heat adaptation strategies tailored to the local population, conditions, and context in Chelsea and East Boston, MA.

Recruited **22** residents, prioritizing **socio**-**economic**, **racial/ethnic** & **housing diversity**.

Collected personal, residential and outdoor temperatures as part of the 2020 study.

Administered **baseline**, weekly, and exit questionnaires to complement during the duration of the study to get a better understanding of participants' personal experiences dealing with heat . Due to COVID-19, all recruitment, interviews and data collection were conducted remotely.

Questionnaire approach

We asked questions that helped us explore the nuances of dealing with extreme heat

Questionnaire Topics

- o air conditioning
- o home
- o temperature perception
- o sleep

255

2

3

Δ

5

D)

- \circ hydration
- o Health
- o transportation
- heat-coping strategies
- \circ heat wave awareness
- heat illness concerns
- o Income & financial health
- perceived social capital

Data Collection/Analysis

We used Qualtrics to distribute the questionnaire and collect answers. We created descriptive statistics tables for all relevant questions using R version 4.0.2 (2020-06-22)

Participants' St	atistics	6
City		
Chelsea	15 (68%)	
East Boston	7 (32%)	
Language		
English	10 (45%)	
Spanish	12 (55%)	\$
Race/Ethnicity		Ψ
Hispanic/Latina/o	9 (41%)	Fm
Non-Hispanic White	6 (27%)	Dor
Other ^a	4 (18%)	par
Age range (years)	22-78	50%
Rent/Own	N (%)	par
Rent	17 (77)	inco
Own	4 (18)	
Other	1 (5)	AIII
Housing Type		pric
Multi–Family ^b	16 (73%)	
Single Family	4 (18%)	
Public Housing	2 (9%)	C
Year Built	Mean (SD)	5
	1912 (42)	AC
Number of Stories		A
1	2 (9%)	
2-3	17 (77%)	
^a includes American Indian or Alas	1 (5%)	
and Black or African American		City
[®] includes apt, condo, row end, de	cker	b
AC Porta	ble Central AC	
Status AC, 1	.0% 20%	
Wall AC, 10	%	Co

^a includes American Indian or Alaska Native, Asian, and Black or African American
^b includes apt, condo, row end, decker
AC status
Continued.
AC type distribution.
Continued.
AC type distribution.

House-related findings from the
questionnaires helped identifying housing
characteristics associated with higher
indoor temperatures. These, in
combination with AC use answers
referenced to model a building heat
index.Conclusion: More nuanced understanding of residents' experiences is required to develop
effective extreme heat interventions. Through engaging with residents of urban heat
islands and compiling questionnaires and temperature data findings we are better
positioned to suggest interventions that meet the needs of those most impacted.
Limitations: The small sample size may not reflect the experiences of these populations
accurately. Need for broader/ open-ended questions to capture the subtleties of our
participants experiences coping with extreme heat.

Acknowledgements: C-HEAT Team: GreenRoots, Inc., Boston University School of Public Health, the City of Chelsea, C-HEAT AdvisoryTeam, Chelsea and East Boston Study participants. Funding from Barr Foundation. "This work was supported by a National Science Foundation Research Traineeship (NRT) grant to Boston University (DGE 1735087)."



Results

AC status: All 100% of the participants had some form of AC in their homes. And yet, ~ 50% of the participants described the living conditions in their residence last summer as hot, and 30% as warm. The average AC setting range reported was ~60- 78°. F.



income & AC



Opportunities

Subsidized AC upgrades AC bills payment assistance AC efficiency education

Interventions



During the study period 54% of the participants reported not leaving the house for a cooler area. Of those, 3 said they didn't know of a place to go to cool down

Opportunities

Residential upgrades to improve thermal comfort indoors

Increased outdoor cooling capacity

Interventions White roofs (being implemented)

) Hydration

Only **60%** of the participants reported drinking enough water.

Opportunities Hydration stations/education

Interventions Hydration stations (implemented)

Transportation

About 80% rely on public transport to get to work and buy groceries. 60% changed mode of transport due to COVID-19 and Heat.

Opportunities

cooling interventions stops/stations transportation vouchers

> Interventions Misting stations (being tested)

Discussion & Conclusion

ots, References:

City of	1. Vaidyanathan. A., J. Malilay, P. Schramm, and S. Saha. 2020. Heat-related deaths. United States, 2004–2018. Morbidity
on l	and Mortality Weekly Report 69(24):729–734.
.011	2. https://www.bu.edu/articles/2021/heat-exposure-hits-vulnerable-communities-harder-than-others/.
	3. IPCC WG1 Report 2021. <u>https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg1/</u>
oston	4. https://boston.cbslocal.com/2021/06/23/chelsea-free-air-conditioning-ac-units-application/
	5. https://allinenergy.org/c-heat-project.html